

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
2 United States Attorney  
3 MACK E. JENKINS  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
5 Chief, Criminal Division  
6 MIRI SONG (Cal. Bar No. 291140)  
7 Assistant United States Attorney  
8 International Narcotics, Money  
9 Laundering, & Racketeering Section  
10 1400 United States Courthouse  
11 312 North Spring Street  
12 Los Angeles, California 90012  
13 Telephone: (213) 894-2262  
14 Facsimile: (213) 894-0142  
15 E-mail: miri.song@usdoj.gov

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

19 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

20 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 23-564-MWF-1,2,5

21 Plaintiff,

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL  
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING  
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT  
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

22 ERICK OVED ESTRADA, ET AL.,

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 8/5/2025  
[PROPOSED] SC DATE: 6/23/2025

23 **ERICK OVED ESTRADA (#1),**  
24 **ARIAN ALANI (#2), and**  
25 **CASEYA CHANEL BROWN (#5),**

26 Defendants.

27 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding  
28 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of  
Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the  
government and defendants Erick Oved Estrada, Arian Alani, and Caseya  
Chanel Brown, (collectively, the "defendants"), in this matter on  
November 6, 2024. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which  
this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates

1 facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter,  
2 and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to  
3 the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

4       The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
5 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
6 defendants in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
7 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
8 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the  
9 continuance would unreasonably deny defendants continuity of counsel  
10 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
11 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
12 diligence.

13           THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

14       1. The trial in this matter is continued as to all defendants  
15 in this matter (defendants numbers one through six) from the earliest  
16 set trial date of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025. The status  
17 conference hearing is continued to June 23, 2025.

18       2. The time period of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025,  
19 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
20 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
21 and (B)(iv). Additionally, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6), the  
22 time period of November 12, 2024 to August 5, 2025, inclusive,  
23 constitutes a reasonable period of delay for defendants Tomas  
24 Marquez-Ruiz, Gilberto Marquez, and Zoila Estrada, who are joined for  
25 trial with co-defendants, as to whom the time for trial has not run  
26 and no motion for severance has been granted.

27       3. Defendants Tomas Marquez-Ruiz, Caseya Brown, and Zoila  
28 Estrada, shall appear in Courtroom 5A of the Federal Courthouse, 350

1 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California on August 5, 2025, at 8:30  
2 a.m.

3       4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
4 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
5 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must  
6 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of  
7 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of  
8 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
9 commence.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

DATE

HONORABLE MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16 || Presented by:

*/s/ MiRi Song*  
MIRI SONG  
Assistant United States Attorney